UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,419	10/24/2005	Axel Huegle	2003P06043WOUS	5176
29177 K&L Gates LLI	7590 01/19/201 <b>P</b>	0	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 1135		SMITH, MATTHEW J		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,419	HUEGLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Matthew J. Smith	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affid al (with appeal fee) in complian	avit, or other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	). on which the petition under 37 CFR	1.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t	-6	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29. Claim(s) objected to: 20. Claim(s) rejected: 16-19 and 21-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	oeal and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./	/M. J. S./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635	Examiner, Art Unit 36	35	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner is unable to determine the structure in the claims corresponding to the "additionally extending surface" or the "additionally [sic] cylindrical guide" discussed in the Remarks, page 8.